Function Totality: Abstraction Tool in Programming

Marko Dimjašević, PhD

Lambda Days, Kraków, Poland, 21 February 2019



About Me

- From Croatia
- Formal Methods Engineer at Input Output Hong Kong
- Doctoral dissertation at University of Utah, USA on automatic software testing
- Research on runtime verification at NASA
- Interested in:
 - Software correctness via type systems
 - Reducing software complexity via embedded domain specific languages



In This Talk

Introduction

Exhaustive Pattern Matching

Termination

Productivity

Totality

Conclusions



Introduction

- Function totality = termination + productivity
 - Key to: 1) reducing the number of runtime errors, and 2) the ability to abstract
 - Exhaustiveness important too
- Types have a central role
- Function definition via equations and pattern matching
- Code examples: Haskell, Idris



Introduction: Abstraction

"The purpose of abstraction is not to be vague, but to create a new semantic level in which one can be absolutely precise." \sim Edsger W. Dijkstra



Introduction: Software Components

- Function as a software component
- Use smaller components with known functionality to compose bigger components
- Abstraction over details from smaller components



Introduction: Haskell, Idris

- Pure functional programming languages
 - Programming like doing mathematics
- Haskell first appeared in 1990, Idris in 2009
- Idris strictly evaluates by default
- Haskell's type system based on parametric polymorphism
 - Algebraic data types
 - Idris: dependent types
- General-purpose programming languages
 - Idris also a theorem proving assistant



Introduction: The Role of Types

Type: a set of values

data Vehicle = Car | Motorcycle
data Person = MkPerson Int Vehicle

- Function: maps a set to another set getVehicle :: Person -> Vehicle getVehicle (MkPerson age vehicle) = vehicle
- Types determine the kind of data that functions work with
- Types direct termination and productivity checking
- Compiler: performs automatic checks if expected and actual types match



Exhaustive Pattern Matching

- Have we covered all cases of input values?
- Fetch the head of a list

head $:: [a] \rightarrow a$

head $(x:_) = x$

- Inexhaustive pattern matching: no empty list case
 - head is a partial function



Exhaustive Pattern Matching: List Head Error

Cover the whole function domain

head :: [a] \rightarrow a head (x:_) = x head [] = error "empty list"

- Runtime error when head [] called
- Problem: The type of the function is not appropriate



Exhaustive Pattern Matching: Different Codomain

Choose a better codomain

head		::	[a] -> Maybe a
head	(x:_)	=	Just x
head	[]	=	Nothing



Exhaustive Pattern Matching: Vehicle Example

- Example: greet a vehicle owner
 - ▶ If underage (18), they should have no vehicle
 - ► If at least 18, they have a car or a motorcycle
- Three cases in total:
 - 1. The person is underage and therefore cannot own a vehicle
 - 2. The person is at least 18 and has a car
 - 3. The person is at least 18 and has a motorcycle



Exhaustive Pattern Matching: Haskell

```
data Vehicle = Car | Motorcycle
data Person = MkPerson Int Vehicle
```

limit = 18

```
greet :: Person -> String
greet (MkPerson age _) | age < limit =
  "Be patient, you're not old enough to drive!"
greet (MkPerson age Car) | age >= limit =
  "Hello, you car driver!"
greet (MkPerson age Motorcycle) | age >= limit =
  "Hello, you motorcycle driver!"
```



Exhaustive Pattern Matching: GHC Warning

```
$ ghc -Wincomplete-patterns Greet.hs
[1 of 1] Compiling Greet
                                  ( Greet.hs, Greet.o
Greet.hs:7:1: warning: [-Wincomplete-patterns]
   Pattern match(es) are non-exhaustive
    In an equation for 'greet':
Patterns not matched:
    (MkPerson _ Car)
    (MkPerson _ Motorcycle)
7 | greet (MkPerson age _)
                                   | age < limit =
```



Exhaustive Pattern Matching: Idris (1)

<u>data</u> Vehicle = Car | Motorcycle

possiblyVehicle : Nat \rightarrow Type possiblyVehicle n = \underline{if} n < 18 \underline{then} () \underline{else} Vehicle

<u>data</u> Person : Type where MkPerson : (age : Nat) \rightarrow (v : possiblyVehicle age) Person

p1 : Person
p1 = MkPerson 11 ()

```
It will not type-check
p2 : Person
p2 = MkPerson 16 Car
```

p3 : Person p3 = MkPerson 24 Motorcycle

Exhaustive Pattern Matching: Idris (2)

<u>data</u> Vehicle = Car | Motorcycle

possiblyVehicle : Nat \rightarrow Type possiblyVehicle n = if n < 18 then () else Vehicle

<u>data</u> Person : Type where MkPerson : (age : Nat) \rightarrow (v : possiblyVehicle age) Person

greet : Person → String
greet (MkPerson age v) with (age < 18)
greet (MkPerson _ ()) | True =
 "Be patient, you're not old enough to drive!"
greet (MkPerson _ Car) | False =
 "Hello, you car driver!"
greet (MkPerson _ Motorcycle) | False =
 "Hello, you motorcycle driver!"</pre>

Exhaustive Pattern Matching: Idris Check

The Idris compiler checks for exhaustiveness

:<u>total</u> greet Greet.greet is Total

The greet function exhaustively covers all possible shapes and values of type Person



Termination

Will the program eventually finish running given an input?

length :: [a] -> Word length [] = 0 length (x : xs) = 1 + length xs



Termination: Loop

 Will this program terminate? (taken from the paper Total Functional Programming)

```
loop :: Int \rightarrow Int
loop n = 1 + loop n
```



Termination: Mathematical Reasoning

Mathematical reasoning in functional programming

loop :: Int \rightarrow Int loop n = 1 + loop n

Substitute 0 for n:

loop 0 = 1 + loop 0

Assume x - x = 0 and subtract loop 0 from both sides to get:

0 = 1

What went wrong?



Termination: Bottom Value

```
We went from the program
loop :: Int -> Int
loop n = 1 + loop n
to
0 = 1
```

- n is not only an integer, but also a bottom (undefined integer)
- An infinite loop in programming corresponds to falsity in logic
 - loop is a partial function, hence not suitable for equational reasoning



Termination: Halting Problem

- The Halting Problem in computability theory
 - Given a program description and an input, will the program finish with its execution?
 - In 1936 Alan Turing proved there is no general algorithm that addresses this question
- How can Idris check for termination?
 - Restriction to a function class for which it is doable (adapt the style of program writing)



Termination: Recap

Inexhaustive pattern matching and infinite loops

```
head :: [a] -> a
```

```
head (x:_) = x
```

```
loop :: Int \rightarrow Int
loop n = 1 + loop n
```

- To rely on such functions calls for trouble: dreadful bug searching and fixing
- A terminating function:
 - 1. Is defined for all well-typed inputs, and
 - 2. Converges on a base case in the recursive call.



Productivity

- What about programs that should not terminate, e.g., an operating system or a web server?
 - Such programs produce data for a given input and keep on doing that in a loop
- Productivity: giving a non-empty finite prefix of an infinite result in finite time



Productivity: Infinite Looping (1)

- An adapted example from the book Type-driven Development with Idris
- An ever-running process printing to the console
- How to check it is productive?



Productivity: Infinite Looping (2)



Productivity: Fuel (1)

- Termination checking for indefinitely running programs: fuel consumption as a guaranty of getting to a final state with no fuel
- Infinite fuel tank
 - Pushing infinite execution out of a critical program part



Productivity: Fuel (2)

```
%default total
```

<u>data</u> Fuel = Dry | More (Lazy Fuel)

```
twoDrops : Fuel
twoDrops = More (More Dry)
```

```
partial
forever : Fuel
forever = More forever
```



Productivity: Fuel (3)



Productivity: Fuel (4)

When executed with two drops of fuel:

```
:exec run twoDrops infProg
Lambda
Lambda
No more fuel
```

When executed with infinite fuel:

```
:exec run forever infProg
Lambda
Lambda
Lambda
Lambda
Lambda
```

• • •



Productivity: Fuel (5)

The run function is total: :<u>total</u> run RunFuel.run is Total



Totality

- Function totality comprises termination and productivity
- A total function:
 - 1. Terminates its execution for a given well-typed data input, or
 - 2. Produces a non-empty finite prefix of the result of the correct type in finite time



Totality: Program Parts

- Programs can be split into a finite and an infinite part:
 - $1. \ \ {\ \ }$ The finite part always has to be total
 - 2. The infinite part has to be as productive as possible
 - The possibility of runtime error only in the partial part of the infinite part



Totality: Recap

- Totality: termination and productivity
- Safe mathematical reasoning about total functions
- A link to the Curry-Howard isomorphism
 - If I had a partial proof, how would I reuse it in more complex proofs?



Literature

- Paper by David Turner: Total Functional Programming
- Aaron Stump: Verified Functional Programming in Agda, chapter 9 (termination proofs)
- Edwin Brady: Type-driven Development with Idris
- > Daniel Friedman, David Christiansen: The Little Typer



Conclusions

- Composing smaller functions into bigger functions
- Totality: terminating and productive functions
 - Supports abstraction
- Define functions over the whole domain:
 - Exhaustive pattern matching
 - Fix the domain or the codomain
- Compiler as a verification tool

- ▶ ⓒ Marko Dimjašević, 2019. CC-BY-SA 4.0
 - https://dimjasevic.net/marko

